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Definition of “Wetland”

• Wetlands – “areas that are inundated or saturated by surface or groundwater at a frequency and duration sufficient to support, and that under normal circumstances do support, a prevalence of vegetation typically adapted for life in saturated soil conditions.”

• 1987 Wetlands Delineation Manual

• Three conditions:
  – Hydrology
  – Vegetation
  – Hydric soil
Section 404

• Congress adopted section 404 in 1972 CWA as a separate permit program for dredging operations (Why?)

• Section 404:
  – Requires all dischargers
  – Of dredge and fill material
  – Into waters of the United States
  – To obtain a permit from the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers

• We’ve already discussed “waters of the United States” (Riverside Bayview, SWANCC and Rapanos)
“Dredge and fill materials”

• “Dredge” – “material that is excavated or dredged from the waters of the United States” (33 C.F.R. sec. 323.2(c))

• “Fill material” – “material used for the primary purpose of replacing an aquatic area with dry land or of changing the bottom elevation of a water body” (33 C.F.R. sec. 323.2(k))

• Question – how does the Section 404 program interact with other federal environmental statutes?
  – Hazardous dredge materials? (40 C.F.R. 261.4(g))
  – Discharge of “fill” or “pollutant”? Coeur Alaska, Inc. v. Southeast Alaska Conservation Council
Coeur Alaska, Inc. v. Southeast Alaska Conservation Council (2009)

- Gold mine operation in southern Alaska
  - Planned to dispose of slurry in Lower Slate Lake
  - 4.5 million tons of tailings, raise lakebed by 50 feet
  - Dam the lake and isolate it from other surface waters
  - Water treatment system for ultimate discharges downstream
  - Total loss of fish and aquatic biotic community

- Slurry – “pollutant” or “fill”? **Purpose** of placement relevant?

- CWA Section 402(a) permit authority vs. Section 404
  - New source performance standard under CWA 306(e)?
Coeur Alaska (cont’d)

- Regas Memorandum
  - Given *Chevron* deference?
  - Directly addresses Lower Slate Lake
  - Holding? (4-2-3)

- Justice Kennedy’s conclusion – deference to regulatory interpretation set out in Regas memorandum

- Justice Breyer’s concurrence – deference to classification of specific material at issue
Wetlands permitting

- Section 404(e) – authorized “general permits”

- “Nationwide Permits”
  - 26 permits initially
  - Cover categories of activities, including road building, remediation work, and other routine activities
  - NWP 26 – filling in of “isolated” wetlands
    - Limited to 10 acres in 1984
    - 3 acres in 1996
    - .1 acre in March 2000
    - Expired in June 2000; subsumed into other NWPs

- NWPs reissued in 2017 – now 54 permits, incl. new "living shore" erosion protection
Wetlands Permitting Process

- Exemptions: farming, forestry, and ranching (Section 404(f)(1))
  - Conversion to use “not previously subject” (Section 404(f)(2))
  - Importance of agriculture: “Swampbuster” program, Wetlands Reserve Program

- EPA guidelines for Section 404 permit review:
  - No practicable alternative which would be less damaging
  - Not cause significant degradation of U.S. waters
  - Minimize potential adverse impacts on aquatic ecosystem
  - Not violate state water quality standards

- EPA has veto authority (section 404(c)), but rarely used
  - State role – section 401 certification authority
Wetlands mitigation

• Hierarchy for mitigation of wetlands losses:
  – Prevent
  – Minimize
  – Mitigate (or compensate)

– Mitigation banking
  – EPA-Corps regulations issued in April 2008
  – Preferred options: banking, “in-lieu”, site mitigation by permittee
  – Watershed approach
  – Concerns
    • “Apples and oranges” – not all wetlands are the same
    • Fragmentation
    • Poor follow-through
Wetlands preserves with active well sites

There are 49 operating wetland and stream mitigation banks in Texas. Seven have producing gas or oil wells, according to Texas Railroad Commission data.

Source: Texas Railroad Commission

Houston Chronicle
Natural gas wells in regent’s wetlands preserve

companies and public agencies that need a federal permit to destroy wetlands during construction can pay owners of so-called “mitigation banks” to create and restore wetlands. The U.S. Army Corps of Engineers authorizes and oversees these banks. One mitigation bank—in Tarrant and Dallas counties—is run by Wallace Hall, a member of the UT System Board of Regents. It has 36 permitted wells; 17 are producing natural gas.

This Chesapeake Energy gas pad sits in the so-called Bass tract. All of the pads are connected to natural gas wells in or near the mitigation bank.

Another example of a natural gas pad lies in an area known as the Duck Lake tract.

Sources: Railroad Commission of Texas, Army Corps of Engineers records

Photos: Gary Coronado / Houston Chronicle
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