

Property

- Module 4
- Co-Ownership

Common Law Concurrent Interests

- Tenants in common
- Joint Tenants
 - Right of survivorship
 - Four unities (pg. 276)
- Judicial partition
- Tenancy by the entirety
 - Neither can defeat the right of survivorship
 - Neither have partition right
- Presumptions
 - Common law
 - Modern approach
- Problems, pg. 278
 - 1 & 3


Riddle v. Harmon (Cal. App. 1980)

- Dispute?
 - What is revolting about the rule at issue?
- | | |
|---|---|
| <ul style="list-style-type: none">• A conveys to A & B to create a joint tenancy<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Allowed at common law?• Allowed under the law applicable to this case? | <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Riddle conveys from herself as J/T to herself as TinC<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Two to transfer?• Clark v. Carter |
|---|---|

Notes, pg. 284


- 1
 - What are the issues with the wife's handwritten deed?

• 2



Harms v. Sprague (Illinois 1984)

- Dispute
- Issues
 - Is J/T severed when less than all holders mortgage their interest?
 - Does this mortgage survive the death of the mortgagor as a lien on the property?
- Characterization and effect of mortgage
 - Options?
 - Lien theory versus title theory




Questions and Problems, pg. 288-289

1. Result if court held that the mortgage severed the J/T?
2. A & B are joint tenants, A conveys a 10 year term of years to C. After 5 years, A dies, devising all to D. What are B's rights?

Delfino v. Vealencis (Conn. 1980)

- Dispute?
- Partition
 - Types?
- Partition by sale conditions
 - Physical attributes of the land are such that a partition in kind is impracticable or inequitable
 - Interests of owners better promoted by a sale


Delfino v. Vealencis (Conn. 1980)



Boundary descriptions - indiscriminate metes and bounds

JONES sells 140 acres and 36 poles of land in HAYES County on WEST Fork to SMITH for 200 dollars. Land bounded as follows...:

- Beginning at the mouth of a branch at an ash stump
- thence up the creek S 20 poles to 2 beach
- thence east 41 poles to a small walnut in Arnett's line
- thence north 50 east 80 poles to a linn hickory dogwood in said line
- thence north 38 poles to an ash
- thence west 296 poles with Potts's line till it intersects with Tolly's line
- thence south 30 west 80 poles to a whiteoak and sugar
- thence east 223 poles to beginning....




Notes pg. 299-300

- 5.
- 6.
- 7.



Spiller v. Mackereth (Ala. 1976)

- Dispute?
- Rule for rent among co-tenants
- Ouster
- Ouster for rent among cotenants
 - Majority
 - Minority



Accounting for Benefits, Recovering Costs

- Rents and profits
- Taxes, mortgage payments, carrying charges
- Repairs and improvements