

**SYLLABUS AND CLASS PLAN FOR
PATENT REMEDIES & DEFENSES
SPRING 2022
PROF. JANICKE**

Required materials: Casebook, P. Janicke, *Modern Patent Litigation* (Carolina Academic Press, 4th ed. 2016 – e-book).

Learning Objectives

The course is designed to highlight in depth the principal substantive law issues, and a few jurisdictional and procedural ones – that are most commonly encountered in patent litigation today, ones that do not arise in Patent Office practice and that are not covered in a basic patent law course. There will be a special section created for patent licensing cases, using the “pitfall approach,” i.e., studying cases where different drafting of the license would have changed the result or avoided the litigation. The remainder of the course will follow my casebook, *Modern Patent Litigation*. We will treat the cases rather thoroughly, and as a result will on average cover only six cases per class session. We will construct and discuss several variant fact patterns of some of the cases.

Major Assignments/Exams

There will be three for-credit quizzes spaced during the semester. Each will be pre-announced and will be in multiple-choice format. The final exam will count for 85% of the final grade if the quiz scores at 15% pull the total upward; if the final alone is higher, the quiz scores will not count.

Required Reading

The content of the course, and the approximate dates for coverage of the various parts, are as follows, tracking the content of the casebook:

Chapter 1: Patentee's Remedies

A. Permanent Injunction

eBay v. MercExchange, (S. Ct.)

MercExchange v. eBay (on remand to E.D. Va.)

W. L. Gore & Assocs. v. Garlock, Inc., 842 F. 2d 1275 (Fed. Cir. 1988)

Amstar Corp. v. Envirotech Corp., 823 F. 2d 1538 (Fed. Cir. 1987)

i4i (Fed. Cir.) [excerpt]

B. Preliminary Injunction

Roper Corp. v. Litton Sys., 757 F. 2d 1266 (Fed. Cir. 1985)

Illinois Tool Works v. Grip-Pak, Inc., 906 F. 2d 679 (Fed. Cir. 1990)

C. Contempt Remedy for Violating Injunction

TiVo v. EchoStar (Fed. Cir. 2011)

Additive Controls & measurement Sys. Inc. v. Flowdata Inc. (Fed. Cir. 1996)

D. Damages: Lost Profits

King Instr. Corp. v. Otari Corp., 767 F. 2d 853 (Fed. Cir. 1985), *cert. denied*, 475 U.S. 1016 (1986)

Juicy Whip Inc. v. Orange Bang, Inc. (Fed. Cir. 2005)

BIC Leisure Prods. v. Windsurfing Int'l., Inc., F. 3d 1214 (Fed. Cir. 1993)

E. Reasonable Royalty

Georgia-Pacific Corp. v. United States Plywood Corp., 318 F. Supp. 1116 (S.D.N.Y. 1978), modified, 446 F. 2d 295 (2d Cir.), cert. denied, 404 U.S. 870 (1971)

Fromson v. Western Litho Plate & Supply Co., 853 F. 2d 1568 (Fed. Cir. 1988)

Crystal Semiconductor Corp. v. Tritech Microelectronics Int'l., Inc., 246 F. 3d 1336 (Fed. Cir. 2001).

Harris Corp. v. Ericsson Inc. (Fed. Cir. 2005)

Interactive Pictures v. Infinite Pictures (Fed. Cir. 2001)

SGS Kohle v. Dart Indus. (Fed. Cir. 1988)

Cardiac Pacemakers

i4i (Fed. Cir. 2010) [excerpt]

Uniloc USA v. Microsoft (Fed. Cir. 2011)

F. Enhancement: Willfulness; Counsel Opinions

Knorr-Bremse Systeme Fuer Nutzfahreuge GmbH v. Dana Corp.

Halo Electronics v. Pulse Electronics (S. Ct. 2016)

Ortho Pharm. Corp. v. Smith, 959 F. 2d 936 (Fed. Cir. 1992)

Read Corp. v. Portec, Inc., 970 F. 2d 816 (Fed. Cir. 1992) [Part II]

G. Interest

Nickson Indus. v. Rol Mfg. Co., 847 F. 2d 795 (Fed. Cir. 1988)

Beatrice Foods Co. v. New England Printing & Litho. Co., 923 F. 2d 1576 (Fed. Cir. 1991)

H. Attorney Fees

Modine Mfg. Co. v. Allen Group, 917 F. 2d 538 (Fed. Cir. 1990), *cert. denied*, 500 U.S. 918 (1991)

Chapter 1A: Pateent licensing to avoid litigation

(In process of creation.)

Chapter 2. Accused Infringer's Remedies

A. Declaratory judgment

Int'l Med. Prosthetics Res. Assocs. v. Gore Enterprise Holdings, 787 F. 2d 572 (Fed. Cir. 1986)

Medimmune v. Genentech (S. Ct. 2007)

Creative Compounds v. Starmark Labs (Fed. Cir 2011)

Powertech Technology v. Tessera Inc. (Fed. Cir. 2012)

B. Attorney fees

Eltech Sys. Corp. v. PPG Indus., 903 F. 2d 805 (Fed. Cir. 1990)

Octane Fitness v. Icon Health (S. Ct. 2014)

C. Indemnification

D. Wrongful injunction action

Transonic Sys. Inc. v. Noninvasive Med. Techs. Corp. (Fed. Cir. 2003)

Nintendo of Amer. v. Lewis Galoob Toys (9th Cir. 1994)

E. Misuse Defense; Antitrust Action

Berlenbach v. Anderson & Thompson Ski Co., 329 F. 2d 782 (9th Cir.), cert. denied, 379 U.S. 830 (1964)

Princo Corp. v. USITC (Fed. Cir. 2010)

Zenith Radio Corp. v. Hazeltine Research, Inc., 395 U.S. 100 (1969)

In re Independent Service Organizations, 203 F. 3d 1322 (Fed. Cir. 2000), cert. denied, 531 U.S. 1143 (2001).

Chapter 3: Jurisdiction and Venue

A. Basics of jurisdiction where cases “arise under” § 1338(a)

Consolidated Kinetics v. Marshall, Neil & Pauley, Inc., 521 P. 2d 1209 (Wash. App. 1974)

Christianson v. Colt Indus., (S. Ct. 1988)

Gunn v. Minton (S.Ct. 2013) [suppl. cases]

Medtronic v. Mirowski Family Ventures (S. Ct. 2014)

B. Claims of infringement by U.S. or its contractors; *claims against states*

TVI Energy Corp. v. Blane, 806 F. 2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1986)

Florida Prepaid Educ. v. College Savings Bank (S. Ct. 1996)

C. The limits of supplemental jurisdiction

Verdegaal Bros. v. Union Oil (Fed. Cir. 1984)

D. Jurisdiction over persons: General and Special

Minimum Contacts

Beverly Hills Fan Co. v. Royal Sovereign Corp., 21 F. 3d 1558 (Fed. Cir. 1994)

3D Systems, Inc. v. Aarotech Labs. Inc., 160 F. 3d 1373 (Fed. Cir. 1998).

E. Venue: Residence of Defendant

VE Holding Corp. v. Johnson Gas Appliance Co., 917 F. 2d 1574 (Fed Cir.), cert. denied, 499 U.S. 922 (1990)

F. Convenience Transfers

In re TS Tech (Fed. Cir. 2008)

In re Telular (Fed. Cir. 2009)

G. Conflicting actions

Kahn v. GMC, 889 F. 2d 1078 (Fed. Cir. 1989)

In re Google (Fed. Cir. 2014)

Chapter 4: Parties and Pleadings

A. Necessary Parties Plaintiff

Waterman v. Mackenzie, 138 U.S. 252 (1891)

Independent Wireless Tel. Co. v. RCA, 269 U.S. 459 (1926)

McNeilab, Inc. v. Scandipharm, Inc., 1996 U.S. App. LEXIS 19073 (Fed. Cir. 1996)

WIAV Solutions v. Motorola (Fed Cir 2010)

Mann Foundation v. Cochlear Corp. (Fed. Cir. 2010)

B. Possible Parties Defendant

Orthokinetics, Inc. v. Safety Travel Chairs, Inc., 806 F. 2d 1565 (Fed. Cir. 1986)

Chapter 5: Problems in Determining Patent Scope

Excerpt from *Graver Tank*

Vitronics

Phillips v. AWH

Net Moneyin

Allvoice

Chapter 6: Special Problems Regarding Patent Infringement

Cardiac Pacemakers (Fed. Cir. 2010)

Intermedics v. Ventritex (Fed. Cir. 1993)

Merck v. Integra (S.Ct. 2005)

Global Tech v. SEB (S. Ct. 2011)

i4i [excerpt]

Chapter 7: Estoppels

A. To deny validity: Assignor estoppel

Shamrock Techs. v. Medical Sterilization, Inc., 903 F. 2d 789 (Fed. Cir. 1990)

B. To deny infringement: Marking estoppel

Frolow v. Wilson (Fed. Cir. 2013) [suppl. cases]

C. To assert infringement: Prosecution history
estoppel

Townsend v. Hitec (Fed. Cir. 1987)

Festo v. Shoketsu (S.Ct. 2002)

Festo [Judge Saris decision on remand]

Festo [Fed Cir affirmance of Saris decision]

Intervet (Fed Cir 2010)

D. To sue: Equitable estoppel and laches

A.C. Aukerman Co. v. R.L. Chaites Constr. Co., 960 F. 2d 1020 (Fed. Cir. 1992)

E. To Deny Existence of License

Wang Labs., Inc. v. Mitsubishi Electronics America, Inc., 103 F. 3d 1571 (Fed. Cir.), cert. denied, 1997 U.S. LEXIS 4726 (1997)

Chapter 8: Defenses

A. Defenses Only As Provided By Statute

Aristocrat v. Int'l Game (Fed. Cir. 2008)

B. License

Anton Bauer v. PAG (Fed. Cir. 2003)

C. Exhaustion

Intel Corp. v. U.S. Int'l. Trade Comm'n., 946 F. 2d 821 (Fed. Cir. 1991)

Unidisco, Inc. v. Schattner, 824 F. 2d 965 (Fed. Cir. 1987), cert. denied, 484 U.S. 1042 (1988)

Keurig (Fed. Cir. 2013) [suppl. cases]

D. Inequitable conduct

Kingsdown Med. Consultants, Ltd. v. Hollister, Inc., 863 F. 2d 867 (Fed. Cir. 1988), *cert. denied*, 490 U.S. 1067 (1989)

Medtronic v. Boston Sci. (E.D. Tex. 2008)

Therasense v. Becton (Fed. Cir. 2011)

Exergen v. Wal-Mart (Fed. Cir. 2009)

E. Improperly broadened reissue/reexamination

Quantum Corp. v. Rodime, PLC, 65 F. 3d 1577 (Fed. Cir. 1995)

F. Intervening rights

Westvaco Corp. v. Int'l. Paper Co., 991 F. 2d 735 (Fed. Cir. 1993)

G. Prior Invention

Thomson v. Quixote (Fed. Cir. 1999)

H. Inherent Anticipation

King Pharm v. Eon (Fed. Cir. 2010)

H. Failure to mark and mismarking

Amer. Med. Sys. v. Medical Engg. Corp., 6 F. 3d 1523 (Fed. Cir. 1993), *cert.*

denied, 511 U.S. 1070 (1994)

I. Time limitation on damages

Standard Oil Co. v. Nippon Shokubai KK Co., 754 F. 2d 345 (Fed. Cir. 1985)

Chapter 9: Discovery Matters

A. Privileged communications

Amer. Standard, Inc. v. Pfizer, Inc., 828 F. 2d 734 (Fed. Cir. 1987)

In re Seagate (Fed. Cir. 2007)

Quantum Corp. v. Tandon Corp., 940 F. 2d 642 (Fed. Cir. 1991)

In re Spalding Sports (Fed. Cir. 2000)

GFI v. Franklin Corp. (Fed. Cir. 2001)

B. "Work product"

Rule 26, Fed. R. Civ. P.

In re Pioneer Hi-Bred Int'l (Fed. Cir. 2001)

C. Spoliation of Evidence

Micron v. Rambus (Fed. Cir. 2011)

C. Relevance issues

D. Other discovery and fee-award issues

Nilssen v. Osram Sylvania Inc. (Fed. Cir. 2008)

Chapter 10: Trial and Judgment

A. Summary judgment

Palumbo v. Don Joy Co., 762 F. 2d 969 (Fed. Cir. 1985)

Ryko Mfg. Co. v. Nu-Star, Inc., 950 F. 2d 714 (Fed. Cir. 1991)

B. Separate trials

In re Innotron Diagnostics, 800 F. 2d 1077 (Fed. Cir. 1986)

C. Jury's role

Markman v. Westview Instr., Inc., 517 U.S. 370 (1996)

Listing of jury issues as of 2011

D. Entry of partial judgment; finality

Rule 54, Fed. R. Civ. P

28 U.S.C. § 1292

E. Dismissals and consent judgments

Hartley v. Mentor Corp., 869 F. 2d 1469 (Fed. Cir. 1989)

Foster v. Hallco Mfg. Co., 947 F. 2d 469 (Fed. Cir. 1991)

F. Issue preclusion

Baxter (Fed. Cir. en banc 2012) [suppl. cases]

Del-Mar Avionics, Inc. v. Quinton Instr. Co., 836 F. 2d 1320 (Fed. Cir. 1987)

CLASS PREPARATION

I will call upon you in class recite the cases. I will have the discretion to raise or lower any student's final grade by one grade level. This aspect of your grade is obviously not anonymous.